# Rough MOCADI simulation to see spot sizes and influence of focusing/collimationa vs. angular sacttering at S4 
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Estimated distances for MOCADI input for S293

| [ $\left.\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2\right]\left[\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 3\right][\mathrm{cm}]$ |  |  |  | L-sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | rho ${ }^{*}$ x | rho | L |  |
| vacuum |  |  | 78.45 | 78.45 |
| air | 12.86 | 1.286 | 10 | 88.45 |
| MW-41 |  |  | 10 | 98.45 |
| air | 19.29 | 1.286 | 15 | 113.45 |
| Sc41 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 113.75 |
| air | 19.29 | 1.286 | 15 | 128.75 |
| MUSIC |  |  | 46 | 174.75 |
| air | 19.29 | 1.286 | 15 | 189.75 |
| target | 100 | 71.5 | 15 | 204.75 |
| air | 360.08 | 1.286 | 280 | 484.75 |
| TWIN-MUSI |  |  |  |  |
| air | 797.32 | 1.286 | 620 | 1104.75 |
| ToF-Wall |  |  |  |  |

results of MOCADI simulation for spot size of primary beam
after 10.26 m

|  |  |  |  |  | after 10.26 m |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [m] | [m] | [cm] | [cm] | [cm] | [cm] |
|  |  | zw_x | zw_y | sigx-min | sigy-min | sigx-TW | sigy-TW |
|  | no-cut | 0.9 | -0.2 | 0.2696 | 0.1729 | 2.846 | 2.129 |
|  | <1mrad at S0 | -0.3 | -0.5 | 0.1344 | 0.08622 | 1.97 | 2.081 |
|  | no matter | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.046 | 0.1148 | 2.133 | 0.9387 |
| no matte | r, <1mrad at S0 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.0526 | 0.0568 | 0.499 | 0.8195 |
|  | no matter after |  |  |  |  | 1.98 | 1.404 |
| no matter after | r, <1mrad at S0 |  |  |  |  | 1.273 | 1.376 |
| TA-slit 1m afte | target=+/-1 mm | -0.1 | -0.7 | 0.1841 | 0.1122 | 2.015 | 1.985 |

waist position @H-target @TOF wall

Result: focusing and even more collimation helps but not so much as straggling in the matter at S4 is already a large contribution at $300 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$.
For example slow down from $370 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$-> $300 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ at S4 in front of the target.

## S293 Beam to S4

Focus on target station no. 1 and use slits behind target no. 2 to cut the angular disitribution. This can introduce background of lower energy and a few fragments on slits. Select only one energy with S 2 slits. Remaining fragments wll be much less than those produced in scintillator at S4. It is useful to reduce intensity to some part by closing the chopper window for SIS injection. This also reduces the emittance of the SIS beam.

Use FRS standard mode, only last triplet changed due to changed beam parameters and therefore shifted beam waist position (focus).
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## How to collimate and strip Ta beam

FRS prod. target area (S0) (1)


Seetram is sufficient as stripper CSD after Ta (GLOBAL) Oel. 1el. 2.el 3.el
11\% 43\% 45\% 1\% ( $370 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ )
1\% 37\% 52\% 3\% (1000 MeV/u)
To avoid background take He-like ions (higher Brho)

FRS prod. target area (S0) (2)


The alternative to stripping with the seetram is to use a stripper target, $\mathrm{Cu}-82 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ or $\mathrm{Be}-118 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$. Bot are sufficient strippers, Be gives less angular spread but a bit more energy spread. However from the matter at S4 there will be even more energy spread.

But the collimation does not work so nicely when focusing on the second target as the distance to the slits is very short.

$$
\left. \quad \text { (at } 370 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}\right) \text { ) }
$$

## FRS optics data sets

1.)

In general mode run81-ta1b.dat only S4 changed and assume a phase space cut at the target by slits.
Use Seetram as stripper and focus on target\#1 (SIS-TA).
$X=2.0 \mathrm{E}-3$;
$\mathrm{A}=1 \mathrm{E}-3$;
$\mathrm{Y}=2.0 \mathrm{E}-3$;
$B=1 \mathrm{E}-3$;
DRIFT to 1st quadrupole $=0.9 \mathrm{~m}$ starting at slits.
waist fitted at 1.9 m behind last quadrupole.
quads $=3.278582759 \mathrm{E}-013.990555157 \mathrm{E}-013.110513755 \mathrm{E}-01 \mathrm{~T}$
for Brho $=11.6307$ Tm
1.b)

The same with waist in $x$ aimed at dz=-40cm.
quads $=3.441893226 \mathrm{E}-014.056376105 \mathrm{E}-013.103175241 \mathrm{E}-01 \mathrm{~T}$

## 2.)

In general mode run81-ta2b.dat only s4 changed and assume a phase space cut at the target by slits.
Use Cu-82 or Be-118 mg/cm^2 as stripper and focus on target\#2 (SIS-TA).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=1.0 \mathrm{E}-3 ; \\
& \mathrm{A}=2 \mathrm{E}-3 ; \\
& \mathrm{Y}=1.0 \mathrm{E}-3 ; \\
& \mathrm{B}=2 \mathrm{E}-3 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

DRIFT to 1st quadrupole $=1.561 \mathrm{~m}$.
quads $=3.010372196 \mathrm{E}-014.213033341 \mathrm{E}-013.256174212 \mathrm{E}-01 \mathrm{~T}$
for Brho $=11.6307$ Tm
2.b)

The same with waist in $x$ aimed at $d z=-40 \mathrm{~cm}$.
quads $=3.169731002 \mathrm{E}-014.275561194 \mathrm{E}-013.251157989 \mathrm{E}-01 \mathrm{~T}$

For setting the the last triplet load standard setting and modify last triplet by hand. The normal values in both modes run81-ta1b and run81-ta2b are HFSQT11 $=0.291211 \mathrm{~T}$
HFSQT12 $=0.515188 \mathrm{~T}$
HFSQT13 $=0.361969$ T
for Brho = 11.6307 Tm.
At different Brho at least the ratio must be the same.
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