# The fission rate in multi-dimensional Langevin calculations

P.N. Nadtochy GSI, Darmstadt

# Motivation

Understanding of collective properties of nuclei

Large difference in the predictions of dissipation properties in different theoretical models

Experimental data on nuclear dissipation have often been interpreted using one-dimensional model calculations of the Langevin or Fokker-Planck type.

Investigate the influence of the dimensionality of the deformation space on the fission process in Langevin calculations.

# Theoretical description of fission

#### stochastic approach

collective variables (shape of the nucleus) internal degrees of freedom ("heat bath")

#### Langevin equations

Langevin equations describe time evolution of the collective variables like the evolution of Brownian particle that interacts stochastically with a "heat bath".

## The stochastic approach





 $E_{coll}$  - the energy connected with collective degrees of freedom

 $E_{\text{int}}$  - the energy connected with internal degrees of freedom

 $E_{evap}$ - the energy carried away by the evaporated particles

## The (c,h,a)-parameterization

$$\rho_s^2(z) = \begin{cases} (c^2 - z^2) (A_s + Bz^2/c^2 + \alpha z/c), & \text{if} \quad B \ge 0; \\ (c^2 - z^2) (A_s + \alpha z/c) \exp(Bcz^2), & \text{if} \quad B < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$B = 2h + \frac{c-1}{2}.$$

$$A_s = \begin{cases} c^{-3} - \frac{B}{5}, & \text{if } B \ge 0; \\ -\frac{4}{3} \frac{B}{\exp(Bc^3) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2Bc^3}\right)\sqrt{-\pi Bc^3} \text{erf}(\sqrt{-Bc^3})}, & \text{if } B < 0. \end{cases}$$



# The Langevin equations

$$\dot{q_i} = \mu_{ij} p_j,$$
  
$$\dot{p_i} = -\frac{1}{2} p_i p_k \frac{\partial \mu_{jk}}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} - \gamma_{ij} \mu_{jk} p_k + \theta_{ij} \xi_j (t)$$

#### $\mathbf{q}$ - collective coordinate $\mathbf{p}$ - conjugate momentum

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{m}_{ij}(\|\mu_{ij}\| &= \|\mathbf{m}_{ij}\|^{-1}) \text{ - inertia tensor} \\ F(\mathbf{q}) &= V(\mathbf{q}) - a(\mathbf{q})T^2 \text{ - Helmholtz free energy} \\ a(\mathbf{q}) \text{ - level density } T \text{ - temperature} \\ V \text{ - potential energy } \gamma_{ij} \text{ - friction tensor} \\ \theta_{ij}\xi_j \text{ - random force } \xi_j \text{ - random variable} \end{split}$$

#### The collective coordinates



# The collective coordinates



The potential energy for the <sup>248</sup>Cf.

The solid curve – saddle point configurations.

The dashed curve – fission valley.

Calculations:

- 1. One dimensional (bottom of fission valley) h=a=0
- 2. Two dimensional (symmetrical fission)  $q_3=0$ ,  $q=(q_1,q_2)$
- 3. Three dimensional  $q=(q_1,q_2,q_3)$ .

# The friction parameter



- One-body dissipation ("wall" and "wall-plus-window" formulas)
- Two-body dissipation with the two-body friction constant  $v_0 = 2 \ge 10^{-23} \text{ MeV s fm}^{-3}$

#### **Results of calculations**

#### 1-, 2-, and 3-dimensional

calculations for

 $^{248}$ Cf at E\* = 30 MeV and E\* = 150 MeV;

 $^{213}$ At at E\* = 190 MeV

#### Fission rate: R(t) = -1/N(t) dN(t)/dt

N(t) - the number of Langevin trajectories, which did not escape beyond the saddle at time t.



The stationary values:  $R^{3d}/R^{1d} = 1.8$   $R^{3d}/R^{1d} = 5$ 

The lowest transient time – one-dimensional calculations

## The results for the <sup>248</sup>Cf



one-dimensional case: R(t) - flux over only saddle point

multi-dimensional case: R(t) - flux over populated saddle point configurations

## The results for the <sup>248</sup>Cf (two-body)

E\*=30 MeV





The stationary values:  $R^{3d}/R^{1d} = 3$   $R^{3d}/R^{1d} = 8$ 

The lowest transient time – one-dimensional calculations

## The results for the <sup>248</sup>Cf for E\*=30MeV



The collective energy at saddle point configurations averaged over Langevin trajectories :

# two-bodyone-body1d: <Ecoll> = 1.43 MeV1d: <Ecoll> = 1.26 MeV2d: <Ecoll> = 2.58 MeV2d: <Ecoll> = 2.07 MeV3d: <Ecoll> = 3.60 MeV3d: <Ecoll> = 2.65 MeV

# The results for the <sup>213</sup>At (one-body)



#### 1-dimensional versus 2-dimensional:

The same qualitative results for the stationary values, but different behavior for transient times.

# Summary

- The results of R(t) calculations appreciable dependent on the number of collective coordinates involved in Langevin calculations.
- The transient time and stationary value of fission rate is larger in multi-dimensional calculations than in one-dimensional calculations.
- How the introduction of another collective coordinates will influence on the R(t)?